

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,007	10/05/2000		Phillip M. Braun	00216/447001	8518
26161	7590	10/28/2003		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		ON PC	BALSIS, SHAY L		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1744	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/680,007	BRAUN ET AL.
navisory nearin	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shay L Balsis	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with th	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The offee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply e later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:,		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-35,38-44,49 and 51-65</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	ı) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		·
10. Other:	(e)(

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Hegemann clearly teaches, in figure 3c, as shown by the dashed lines, that the bristles form an acute angle relative to the interproximal line. The interpromximal line lies in a plane that is substantially parallel to the chewing surface of a row of the user's teeth. As stated in the specification of Hegemann, the angle beta is approximately 45 degrees which is known to be an acute angle. It is also inherent in Trattener et al. that the bristles form an acute angle with respect to an interproximal line that lies in a plane parallel to the chewing surface of a user's teeth, as shown in figure 4.

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700